1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney ALSTYN BENNETT Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814		
3			
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
5	1 acsimile. (710) 334-2700		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	Officed States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00122-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	VICTOR ANGELES SERRANO NASH, DATE: December 6, 2022		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on December 6, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until February		
22	28, 2023 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between December 6, 2022, and February 28, 2023, under		
23	Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes investigative reports and related documents, as well as audio and video files, in		
27	electronic form including approximately 85 pages of documents. All of this discovery has been		
28	either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		

///

///

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery and current charges, consult with his client, conduct investigation and research, to review and copy discovery in this matter, and to discuss potential resolutions with his client.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 6, 2022 to February 28, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

25

27

28

Case 2:22-cr-00122-JAM Document 24 Filed 12/05/22 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6		
7	Dated: December 4, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8		
9	/s/ ALSTYN BENNETT ALSTYN BENNETT	
10	Assistant United States Attorney	
11		
12	Dated: December 4, 2022 /s/ JOHNNY L. GRIFFIN III JOHNNY L. GRIFFIN III	
13	Counsel for Defendant VICTOR ANGELES	
14	SERRANO NASH	
15		
16		
17	IT IS SO FOUND AND ODDEDED 41: 541 day of Daywork or 2022	
18		
19	/s/ John A. Mendez	
20	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
21	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		